UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,280	09/27/2005	Hirofumi Yazaki	1027550-000160	1581
21839 7590 05/12/2010 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			LLOYD, EMILY M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/551,280	YAZAKI ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	EMILY M. LLOYD	3736			
All Participants:	Status of Application: Afte	er Non-Final Rejection			
(1) <u>EMILY M. LLOYD</u> .	(3)				
(2) Wendi Weinstein.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 6 May 2010	Time: <u>2 <i>PM</i></u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 14, 17, 18 Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Brian Szmal/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736 (A _I	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/551,280

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that Applicant's previously submitted arguments cited a figure that was not provided. Additionally, the Examiner noted that the 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph rejection regarding previous claim 17 (with language now incorporated into claim 14) did not appear to be addressed and that previous claim 18 did not appear to be incorporated into current claim 14 as stated in Applicant's arguments.